

College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan



Dr. Arvind RENGARAJAN

Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid: March 25, 2017
Hearing: Not Required

Charge(s): Unprofessional Conduct

Outcome Date: March 30, 2019

1) You Dr. Arvind Rengarajan are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(vi) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons

The evidence that will be led in support of this particular will include some or all of the following:

- (a) A person hereinafter referred to in this charge as "Patient Number 1" was your patient;
- (b) On or about August 24, 2015 you saw patient number 1 in relation to the patient's health concerns;
- (c) You prepared one or more entries in Patient Number 1's chart which did not accurately reflect the care you provided to Patient Number 1;
- (d) You falsified the record for Patient Number 1 in respect of your examination or treatment of the patient;
- (e) After you made your entry related to August 24, 2015 you altered the record by adding the notation "ESM- Aortic area";
- (f) After you made your entry related to August 24, 2015 you altered the record by changing the words "arranged for labs/Echo" to "arranged for Urgent labs/Echo"
- (g) After you made your entry related to August 24, 2015 you altered the record by adding the word "dismissive about Cardiac cause .. not keen on ECG. Advised to follow through with labs to start."
- (h) The changes to the patient record were made without noting that the changes were made at a later time than the original patient records was created.

2) You Dr. Arvind Rengarajan are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of The Medical Profession Act, 1981 s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 7.1 and paragraph 13 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence that will be led in support of this particular will include some or all of the following:

- (a) A person hereinafter referred to in this charge as "Patient Number 1" was or had been your patient;
- (b) Patient Number 1 had consulted you in relation to concerns related to her marital breakup;
- (c) You failed to maintain appropriate professional boundaries in relation to Patient Number 1;
- (d) On or about the 31st of July, 2015 you sent a text message to Patient Number 1;
- (e) Patient Number 1 provided her contact information in relation to medical care you provided for her;
- (f) You did not have Patient Number 1's consent to use her contact number for purposes unrelated to her medical care;
- (g) The text message was unrelated to medical care for Patient number 1;
- (h) The text message sent to Patient Number 1 stated the following "It Is Dr R sorry to text you. I want some advice regarding Nurses staff affairs etc. could you help? I want this to be confidential. Thank you" or used words to similar effect;
- (i) On or about July 31, 2015 you telephoned Patient Number 1 and engaged in a discussion relating to your concerns about certain individuals associated with the hospital in Humboldt;
- (j) During the telephone conversation that occurred on or about July 31, 2015 you asked Patient Number 1 if she wanted to come to your home for a glass of wine;
- (k) At the time that you invited Patient Number 1 to come to your home for a glass of wine you were aware of her medical history, including the fact that she was separated from her husband.