

Dr. Maree admitted that she was guilty of the four charges laid against her.

The Council accepted the undertaking from Dr. Maree to attend a course in medical ethics and accepted the joint recommendation for penalty.

- 1) Dr. Narinda Maree was suspended from the privileges of a duly qualified medical practitioner for a period of two months, commencing 12:01 a.m. July 1, 2014 and continuing until 11:59 p.m. on August 31, 2014;
- 2) Dr. Narinda Maree was directed to pay the costs of and incidental to the investigation and hearing in the amount of \$3,944.41 payable prior to July 1, 2014. Council directed that her licence would be suspended if she failed to pay the costs in full;
- 3) Council reserved the right to amend the terms of the cost order by extending the time for payment of the costs, by arranging for the payment of costs over time or by installments, or by reducing or forgiving the payment of the costs and, in the event of such an amendment, the Council reserved the right to impose such additional conditions pertaining to payment and suspension of Dr. Maree's license for the non-payment as may be permitted by **The Medical Profession Act, 1981**.

The charges which Dr. Maree admitted stated:

1. You, Dr. Narinda Maree, are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1.

The evidence that will be lead in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) You were the subject of a complaint to the College of Physicians and Surgeons in which it was alleged that you presented a prescription in the name of [patient name redacted] to the WalMart Pharmacy in Moose Jaw, attempted to fill that prescription and advised the pharmacist that you were [patient name redacted]'s wife.
- b) You were notified of the complaint and provided an opportunity to respond to the complaint.
- c) On or about the 26th of November, you responded to the College by email message. In that email message you responded as follows:

I cannot find any record in my files that Mr. [patient name redacted] was seen in my office or that a prescription for Zopiclone was given to him.

I noticed that the prescription was dated May 20, 2012 and that it was a Sunday. My office is not open on Sundays.

I was in Saskatoon the weekend of May 18, 19, 20 2012 as my son was attending a goalie camp and did not present with a prescription or impersonate [individual's name redacted] at WalMart Pharmacy in Moose Jaw.

- d) That response was false or misleading.
2. You, Dr. Narinda Maree, are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct for knowingly giving false information to a preliminary inquiry committee contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 55.s of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1.

The evidence that will be lead in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) You were the subject of a complaint to the College of Physicians and Surgeons in which it was alleged that you presented a prescription in the name of [patient name redacted] to the WalMart Pharmacy in Moose Jaw, attempted to fill that prescription and advised the pharmacist that you were [patient name redacted]'s wife.
 - b) A preliminary inquiry committee was appointed to investigate that complaint.
 - c) You were interviewed by a preliminary inquiry committee on or about November 30, 2013.
 - d) During the course of that interview you advised the preliminary inquiry committee that you were not in the WalMart pharmacy where the prescription was presented.
 - e) During the course of that interview you advised the preliminary inquiry committee that you were at a hockey camp in Saskatoon on the date that the prescription was presented to the WalMart pharmacy.
 - f) During the course of that interview you advised the preliminary inquiry committee that you left Saskatoon no earlier than 5:15 p.m. on the date that the prescription was presented to the WalMart pharmacy.
 - g) During the course of that interview you advised the preliminary inquiry committee that while the signature on the prescription looked like your signature, you could not provide an explanation why what appeared to be your signature appeared on the prescription.
 - h) During the course of that interview you advised the preliminary inquiry committee that you denied all involvement in issuing or attempting to fill the prescription that was the subject of the preliminary inquiry committee.
 - i) You knowingly gave false information to the preliminary inquiry committee in connection with one or more statements in this charge.
3. You, Dr. Narinda Maree, are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct for providing a document which was not genuine to a preliminary inquiry committee contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 55.s of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1.

The evidence that will be lead in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) You were the subject of a complaint to the College of Physicians and Surgeons in which it was alleged that you presented to the WalMart Pharmacy in Moose Jaw with a prescription in the name of [patient name redacted] and advised the pharmacist that you were [patient name redacted]'s wife.
- b) A preliminary inquiry committee was appointed to investigate that complaint.
- c) You provided a document to the preliminary inquiry committee which purported to show registration in the Eli Wilson Goaltending camp May 17 to May 20, 2012.

- d) That document was not a genuine document.
 - e) You knowingly gave false information to the preliminary inquiry committee by providing the document to the preliminary inquiry committee.
4. You, Dr. Narinda Maree, are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(vi) and section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1.

The evidence that will be lead in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) [patient name redacted] was your patient while you were in practice in Craik, Saskatchewan,
- b) You prepared and signed a prescription dated May 20, 2012 in the name of [patient name redacted] for Apo-Zopiclone.
- c) The Apo-Zopiclone was intended for your own use, and not the use of [patient name redacted].
- d) You presented to the WalMart Pharmacy in Moose Jaw on or about May 20, 2012 with the prescription in the name of [patient name redacted].
- e) You advised the pharmacist at WalMart that you were [patient name redacted]'s wife and attempted to fill the prescription.