

Dr. Fawzy GIRGIS

Charges

| Date Charge(s) Laid: | December 13, 2022 |
|----------------------|---|
| Charge(s) Amended: | N/A |
| Hearing: | Completed |
| Charge(s): | Dishonesty |
| Outcome Date: | January 16, 2025 (Discipline Hearing Decision) |

CHARGE 1

The Executive Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.6 of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Fawzy Girgis, namely:

You Dr. Fawzy Girgis are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981* s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 particulars of which are that you provided inaccurate information to the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario in connection with an application for licensure.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:

- 1) You applied for licensure with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario.
- 2) You answered "no" to a question which asked whether you had ever been the subject of any complaint made to a medical licensing authority.
- 3) That statement was not accurate.
- 4) You answered "no" to a question whether you had ever been the subject of any type of investigation, inquiry or proceeding by a medical licensing authority relating to your professional conduct, competence, capacity, or any other aspect of your medical practice.
- 5) That statement was not accurate.
- 6) One or both statements were made dishonestly, or in the alternative, you failed to exercise due diligence to ensure that you accurately responded to the questions in the application.

CHARGE 2

The Executive Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.6 of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Fawzy Girgis, namely:

cps.sk.ca File # 46.2022.D 2025.07

1

You Dr. Fawzy Girgis are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981* s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 particulars of which are that you provided inaccurate information to the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba in connection with an application to renew your licence.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:

- 1) In approximately October of 2018 you applied to renew your licence with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba.
- 2) You answered "no" to a question whether you had ever been denied an application for licensure, registration, permit or any other authorization to practise.
- 3) That statement was not accurate.
- 4) That statement was made dishonestly, or in the alternative, you failed to exercise due diligence to ensure that you accurately responded to the question.

CHARGE 3

The Executive Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.6 of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Fawzy Girgis, namely:

You Dr. Fawzy Girgis are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981* s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or paragraph (b) of bylaw 7.2 under the heading "Accountability", particulars of which are that you provided inaccurate information to the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba in connection with an application to renew your licence.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:

- 1) In approximately October of 2019 you applied to renew your licence with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba.
- 2) You answered "no" to a question whether you had ever been denied an application for licensure, registration, permit or any other authorization to practise.
- 3) That statement was not accurate.
- 4) That statement was made dishonestly, or in the alternative, you failed to exercise due diligence to ensure that you accurately responded to the question.
- 5) You answered "no" to a question whether you were currently the subject of an investigation or other proceeding in relation to your conduct, competence, or capacity or fitness to practise.
- 6) That statement was not accurate.
- 7) That statement was made dishonestly, or in the alternative, you failed to exercise due diligence to ensure that you accurately responded to the question.

