



Dr. Satyam PATEL

Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid:	July 8, 2025
Charge(s) Amended:	N/A
Hearing:	Pending
Charge(s):	Unprofessional Conduct
Outcome Date:	To be determined

NOTE: Allegations of unprofessional conduct as set out in the charge below have been referred to the discipline committee. The allegations in the charge have not been admitted, nor has there been a hearing before the discipline committee to determine whether the allegations in the charge will be proved.

A physician who denies some or all of the allegations in a charge is entitled to a hearing before the discipline committee to determine if the allegations in the charge are established by the evidence at the hearing.

The Executive Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons has laid the following charges against Dr. Satyam Patel:

CHARGE 1

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or one or more of bylaws 7.1, 7.2, 8.1 and 26.1 in relation to your treatment of a patient referenced in this charge by the initials G.H.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- On or about January 6, 2024 you performed a surgical procedure on G.H.
- You performed the surgical procedure in your clinic.
- The surgical procedure that you performed was one which bylaw 26.1 required be performed in an approved non-hospital treatment facility.
- Your clinic was not an approved non-hospital treatment facility.
- Your conduct breached bylaw 26.1(tt).

- f) Your conduct in performing the surgical procedure in your clinic breached one or more of s. 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to ensure patient care and safety assumes the highest priority in the clinical setting.
- g) You failed to advise G.H. that the surgical procedure was one which would generally be provided in a hospital setting.
- h) You failed to advise G.H. that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital.
- i) You failed to obtain informed consent from G.H. before performing the surgical procedure.
- j) Your conduct with G.H. breached paragraph 11 of bylaw 7.1.
- k) Your conduct with G.H. breached the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to interact with patients and families, visitors, employees, physicians, volunteers, healthcare providers and others with courtesy, honesty, respect, and dignity.
- l) You failed to provide adequate analgesia to G.H.
- m) Your failure to provide adequate analgesia to G.H. breached one or more of s. 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to ensure patient care and safety assumed the highest priority in the clinical setting.
- n) You did not follow up G.H.'s concerns that he was experiencing significant symptoms at the surgical site.
- o) You did not provide an appropriate referral for follow up care despite G.H.'s concerns that he was experiencing significant symptoms at the surgical site.
- p) You discouraged G.H. from seeking a second opinion.
- q) You advised G.H. that wound care clinics were unhygienic.
- r) You advised G.H. that wound care clinics were unsuitable for proper recovery from the surgery that you performed.
- s) Your conduct with G.H. contravened the requirement in bylaw 7.1 that you consider first the well-being of the patient; always act to benefit the patient and promote the good of the patient.
- t) Your conduct with G.H. contravened the requirement in bylaw 7.1 that you provide appropriate care and management across the care continuum.
- u) Your conduct with G.H. contravened the requirement in bylaw 7.1 that you take all reasonable steps to prevent or minimize harm to the patient; disclose to the patient if there is a risk of harm or if harm has occurred.

CHARGE 2

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or one or more of bylaws 7.1, 7.2, 8.1 and 26.1 in relation to your treatment of one or more of the patients referenced in this charge by the initials "O.M.", "E.B.", "P.Q.", "K.M.", "B.B.", "A.H.", "S.H.", "L.B.",

"D.C.", "J.A.", "H.H.", "S.F.", "E.B.", "L.N.", "C.D.", and "H.T.", collectively referred to in this charge as "the patients".

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) You performed surgical procedures on one or more of the patients in your clinic.
- b) The surgical procedure that you performed on one or more of the patients were surgical procedures which bylaw 26.1 required be performed in an approved non-hospital treatment facility.
- c) Your clinic was not an approved non-hospital treatment facility.
- d) You exposed one or more of the patients to additional risks than if the surgical procedures were performed in a hospital setting.
- e) Your conduct breached bylaw 26.1(tt).
- f) Your conduct breached bylaw 8.1(b)(ix).
- g) Your conduct breached s. 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*.
- h) Your conduct breached one or more of the requirements of bylaw 7.1 to:

Consider first the well-being of the patient; always act to benefit the patient and promote the good of the patient.

Provide appropriate care and management across the care continuum.

Take all reasonable steps to prevent or minimize harm to the patient; disclose to the patient if there is a risk of harm or if harm has occurred.

Recognize the balance of potential benefits and harms associated with any medical act; act to bring about a positive balance of benefits over harms.

Always treat the patient with dignity and respect the equal and intrinsic worth of all persons. Always respect the autonomy of the patient.

Never exploit the patient for personal advantage.

- and -

Practise medicine competently, safely, and with integrity; avoid any influence that could undermine your professional integrity.

- i) Your conduct breached the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to ensure patient care and safety assume the highest priority in the clinical setting.

CHARGE 3

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or one or more of bylaws 7.1 and 7.2 in relation to your treatment of one or more of the patients referenced in this charge by the initials "K.M.", "B.B.", "A.H.", "J.A.", "H.H.", "E.B.", "C.D.", and "H.T.", collectively referred to in this charge as "the patients".

- a) You failed to advise one or more of the patients that the surgical procedure to be performed by you was one which would generally be provided in a hospital setting.
- b) You failed to advise one or more of the patients that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital.
- c) You failed to obtain informed consent from one or more of the patients before performing the surgical procedure.
- d) Your failure to obtain informed consent and/or your failure to advise one or more of the patients that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital breached paragraph 11 of bylaw 7.1.
- e) Your failure to obtain informed consent and/or your failure to advise one or more of the patients that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital breached the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to interact with patients and families, visitors, employees, physicians, volunteers, healthcare providers and others with courtesy, honesty, respect, and dignity.

CHARGE 4

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46 (k) and/or 46(l) and/or 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or paragraph (bb) of bylaw 7.2 under the heading "Responsible Behavior" and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(iii) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan, by excessive billing and/or claiming for services not provided in relation to your office practice.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) During the years 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024 you caused or permitted billing of surcharges which resulted in you being paid a 50% premium for the surgical services which you claimed to have provided.
- b) You did not meet the requirements to bill the surcharges which you billed.
- c) You willfully billed improperly for the surcharges, or, in the alternative, failed to exercise due diligence to bill in accordance with the payment schedule.

CHARGE 5

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46 (k) and/or 46(l) and/or 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or paragraph (bb) of bylaw 7.2 under the heading "Responsible Behavior" and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(iii) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan, by excessive billing and/or claiming for services not provided in relation to your office practice.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) You billed for having performed a surgical procedure on a patient identified in this charge by the initials J.C. on May 13, 2023.

- b) You did not perform surgery on the patient J.C. on May 13, 2023.

CHARGE 6

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1 and/or bylaw 7.2 and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(vi) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan, by providing false information to the College of Physicians and Surgeons in a letter dated May 27, 2024 and/or providing a false record of your treatment of a patient, J.C.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) In your letter of May 27, 2024 you provided a description of a surgery which you state that you performed on J.C. on May 13, 2023.
- b) In your letter of May 27, 2024 you provided a copy of what purported to be a medical record for a surgical procedure performed on J.C. on May 13, 2023.
- c) Your statement describing the surgery that you performed was false.
- d) The medical record that purported to be a record of a surgical procedure that you performed on J.C. on May 13, 2023 was not a genuine record.
- e) You did not perform a surgical procedure on J.C. on May 13, 2023.
- f) Your conduct breached the Code of Ethics, bylaw 7.1, which requires physicians to be forthright, respect the truth, and do their best to seek, preserve, and communicate that truth sensitively and respectfully.
- g) Your conduct breached the Code of Conduct, bylaw 7.2 paragraph (b) and/or paragraph (g) under the heading "Accountability".

CHARGE 7

You, Dr. Satyam Patel are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or one or more of bylaws 7.1, 7.2, 8.1 and 26.1 in relation to your treatment of a patient referenced in this charge by the initials C.N.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) On or about January 6, 2024 you performed a surgical procedure on C.N.
- b) You performed the surgical procedure in your clinic. The surgical procedure that you performed was one which bylaw 26.1 required be performed in an approved non-hospital treatment facility.
- c) Your clinic was not an approved non-hospital treatment facility.
- d) Your conduct breached bylaw 26.1(tt).

- e) You breached one or more of s. 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to ensure patient care and safety assumed the highest priority in the clinical setting.
- f) You failed to advise C.N. that the surgical procedure was one which would generally be provided in a hospital setting, but that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital.
- g) You failed to obtain informed consent from C.N. before performing the surgical procedure.
- h) Your failure to obtain informed consent and/or your failure to advise C.N. that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital breached paragraph 11 of bylaw 7.1.
- i) Your failure to obtain informed consent and/or your failure to advise C.N. that you did not have privileges to perform surgery in a hospital breached the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to interact with patients and families, visitors, employees, physicians, volunteers, healthcare providers and others with courtesy, honesty, respect, and dignity.
- j) You failed to provide for or arrange for adequate follow up care to C.N.
- k) Your failure to provide for or arrange for adequate follow up care to C.N. breached one or more of s. 46(p) of *The Medical Profession Act, 1981*, bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and the requirement in bylaw 7.2 to ensure patient care and safety assumed the highest priority in the clinical setting.