



Dr. Amith MULLA

Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid:	November 30, 2024
Charge(s) Amended:	N/A
Hearing:	Pending
Charge(s):	Unprofessional Conduct
Outcome Date:	To be determined

NOTE: Allegations of unprofessional conduct as set out in the charge below have been referred to the discipline committee. The allegations in the charge have not been admitted, nor has there been a hearing before the discipline committee to determine whether the allegations in the charge will be proved.

A physician who denies some or all of the allegations in a charge is entitled to a hearing before the discipline committee to determine if the allegations in the charge are established by the evidence at the hearing.

The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981**, the Discipline Committee hear the following charges against Dr. Amith Mulla, namely:

CHARGE 1

You Dr. Amith Mulla are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and/or bylaw 7.1(g) paragraphs 5,6,11,13, and/or Bylaw 7.2 "Accountability" paragraph (f), "Respect for Others" paragraph (c), Responsible Behaviour paragraph (j) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(iii) and (ix) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- 1) You were consulted in August 2022 by a patient hereinafter referred to in this charge as Patient 1.
- 2) Patient 1 attended one of your clinics after being referred by his family physician for a circumcision following a diagnosis of phimosis;
- 3) You provided Patient 1's mother misleading, incomplete, inaccurate and/or false information about whether public insurance may cover the cost of the procedure.
- 4) You failed to adequately advise or inform Patient 1 as to whether his circumcision was potentially medically necessary, and therefore publicly-insured.
- 5) You did not offer alternatives or to make a referral to a physician who would perform the circumcision as an insured procedure.

- 6) You failed to exercise due diligence to determine if the procedure was medically necessary and therefore covered by public insurance.
- 7) You charged Patient 1 \$1795 for the adult circumcision, which was an unjustified and/or excessive fee for the procedure.

CHARGE 2

You Dr. Amith Mulla are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and/or bylaw 7.1(g) paragraphs 11, 13, 21, 22, 23 and/or 26 bylaw 7.2 "Responsible Behaviour" paragraph (j),(y) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(iii), (ix) and/or (xxiii) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- 1) You scheduled an adult circumcision procedure for Patient 1 after an initial consultation and examination.
- 2) On the date scheduled for the circumcision procedure, after administering anesthetic to Patient 1 you then told Patient 1 that he would also need a frenulectomy in addition to the circumcision.
- 3) You said that the charge for the frenulectomy would be \$1,795, in addition to the \$1,795 that had been charged for the scheduled circumcision procedure.
- 4) The fee charged for the frenulectomy was unjustified and/or excessive in the circumstances.
- 5) You communicated with Patient 1 and/or his mother while Patient 1 was in a vulnerable state and/or in a manner that led them to believe they had a limited amount of time to make the decision and/or that if they did not have the additional procedure done at that time, there would be problems in the future.
- 6) You required payment for the frenulectomy immediately, before you would continue with the procedure.
- 7) You communicated about the procedure and Patient 1's personal health information in a conversation with his mother that took place where other persons were present and/or could overhear the conversation, without adequate privacy in the circumstances.
- 8) You did not comply with College bylaws and policies regarding uninsured procedures, including the requirement to take into account the patient's ability to pay, or the reasonableness of, a fee for an uninsured service.

CHARGE 3

You Dr. Amith Mulla are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and/or bylaw 7.1(g) paragraphs 11,18,20,21, 22 and/or 23 and/or bylaw 7.2 "Confidentiality" paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) and/or "Responsible Behaviour" paragraph (b) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(iii),(ix) and/or (xxiii) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- 1) You failed to provide adequate post-operative care to Patient 1 following his circumcision procedure.
- 2) You failed to maintain sufficient personal availability or arrange for coverage to provide follow-up care for patients who need it in a timely manner.

- 3) You asked or allowed Patient 1 to send you unredacted photographs of his penis through text message following the procedure in order to review the healing process, rather than secure or private communication methods.
- 4) You failed to take adequate precaution to ensure the privacy and proper handling of the images in order to protect patient privacy and the confidentiality of personal health information.
- 5) You failed to maintain the standard of practice of the medical profession in relation to your assessment, treatment and care of Patient 1.