



## Dr. Alistair DUDLEY

---

### Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid:	May 24, 2016
Charges amended:	January 20, 2017
Hearing:	January 21, 2017
Charge(s):	Unprofessional Conduct

The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981**, the Discipline Committee hear the following charges against Dr. Alistair Dudley, namely:

- 1) You Dr. Alistair Dudley are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(xvi) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) A female person hereinafter referred to in this charge as "Patient Number 1" was your patient;
  - b) During your interaction with Patient Number 1, you made the following comments, or comments to a similar effect:
    - i. You told Patient Number 1 that the only reason her boyfriend was dating her was so that he could have multiple other girls on the side;
    - ii. You told Patient Number 1 that as a guy you would look at her and want to have sex with her and you would lie in order to get it;
    - iii. You told Patient Number 1 that at this stage in her life all she was going to see was "dicks and assholes";
  - c) During your interaction with Patient Number 1, you hugged her.
- 2) You Dr. Alistair Dudley are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(xvi) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) A female person hereinafter referred to in this charge as “Patient Number 2” was your patient;
  - b) During your interaction with Patient Number 2, you made the following comments, or comments to a similar effect:
    - i. You commented that how you looked at her was usually how you look at someone before you kiss them;
    - ii. You commented that her legs were shaved;
    - iii. You stated “Nice panties. I like it when girls wear nice panties”;
    - iv. You referred to her as beautiful;
  - c) During your interaction with Patient Number 2, you raised the subject of how many sex partners the typical person has in life. Your comments were unrelated to the reason she consulted you;
  - d) During your interaction with Patient Number 2, you stated that gay people have more sex than straight people because gay people don’t have children, or used words with a similar meaning. Your comments were unrelated to the reason she consulted you.
- 3) You Dr. Alistair Dudley are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(xvi) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) A female person hereinafter referred to in this charge as “Patient Number 3” was your patient;
- b) You told Patient Number 3 that you were going to stick your hands down her pants, or used words of similar meaning;
- c) You performed an exam for scoliosis on Patient Number 3 without waiting for a response from her;
- d) You performed an examination for scoliosis on Patient Number 3 without obtaining her consent;
- e) After a brief examination, you advised Patient Number 3 that she had scoliosis;
- f) You made a comment to Patient number 3 about the size of her breasts;
- g) The comment to Patient Number 3 about the size of her breasts was unrelated to the reason for which she consulted you;
- h) You made a comment to Patient number 3 about the size of her waist;
- i) The comment to Patient Number 3 about the size of her waist was unrelated to the reason for which she consulted you;

- j) You squeezed the knee of Patient Number 3 and told her to “just relax” or used words of similar meaning;
  - k) You asked Patient Number 3 how old she was, or used words of similar meaning;
  - l) You asked Patient Number 3 whether she was married or used words of similar meaning;
  - m) Your inquiry about Patient Number 3’s age and marital status were unrelated to the reason for which she consulted you.
- 4) You Dr. Alistair Dudley are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1, paragraphs 31 and/or 34 of the Code of Ethics contained in bylaw 7.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) A female person hereinafter referred to in this charge as “Patient Number 4” was your patient;
- b) You referred to Patient Number 4’s constipation by stating that she was “full of shit” or used words of similar meaning;
- c) You stated to Patient Number 4 that if her condition was causing her that much pain she should never try anal sex, or used words of similar meaning;
- d) Patient Number 4 had not consulted you with respect to any issues of a sexual nature;
- e) When speaking to Patient Number 4 you made reference to your sexual relationship with your wife by stating that her anal canal is a “one way street for her”, or used words of similar meaning;
- f) You asked a member of the staff at the clinic where you were working for the results of the pregnancy test for Patient Number 4 in a manner that your request could be overheard by others;
- g) You failed to take adequate steps to protect the confidentiality of Patient Number 4’s personal health information by failing to take adequate steps to ensure that other persons would not be aware that Patient Number 4 had undergone a pregnancy test.